



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,486	06/12/2006	Gerhard Middelberg	P71294US0	2209
136	7590	11/19/2009		
JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC			EXAMINER	
400 SEVENTH STREET N.W.			SORKIN, DAVID L	
SUITE 600				
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
11/19/2009	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/582,486	Applicant(s) MIDDELBERG ET AL.
	Examiner DAVID L. SORKIN	Art Unit 1797

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 August 2009.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-3, 5-10, 13, 14, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Meyer (US 6,632,009). Regarding claim 1, Meyer discloses an extruder device comprising an extruder worm (2) and a worm drive (3') including a drive motor (4') which includes a rotor (11 or alternatively 11 + 8), which during operation is connected to the extruder worm such that the rotor and the extruder worm rotate at a same speed during operation and are connected to detachable torque-transmitting elements (9,10) which transmit torque between the rotor and the extruder worm and which are detachable therefrom, the detachable torque-transmitting elements including a torque transmission point (a point along 10), at which torque is transmitted from a bushing (10) to a connecting section (9) which is at least partially encompassed by a bushing (10), the bushing being fastened on a face side of the rotor and the torque transmission point being located, in an axial direction, outside the rotor (see Fig. 2). Regarding claim 2, both the bushing and the connecting section are located completely outside the rotor (see Fig. 2). Regarding claim 3, the torque transmitting elements are arranged between the rotor and the extruder worm (see Fig. 2). Regarding claim 5, at least one of the torque-transmitting elements is at least partially encompassed by a

housing (6) which is rigidly connected to a housing (1) of the extruder worm. Regarding claim 6, a housing (16) of the drive motor is connected detachably to the housing , which at least partially encompasses the torque-transmitting elements. Regarding claims 7, 8 and 16, at least one of the torque transmitting elements is braced against the encompassing housing by ball bearings (see Fig. 2). Regarding claims 9 and 17, said at least one torque transmitting element is the bushing (see Fig. 2). Regarding claim 10, the torque transmitting elements are arranged between the rotor and the extruder worm (see Fig. 2). Regarding claims 13 and 14, at least one of the torque-transmitting elements is at least partially encompassed by a housing (6) which is rigidly connected to a housing (1) of the extruder worm.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 4, 11, 12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meyer (US 6,632,009). The apparatus of Meyer was discussed above. Meyers further discloses connecting the bushing and the connecting section so that they are rotationally rigid (see col. 2, lines 58-61). However, it is not disclosed that a screw connection is including. Nonetheless, since Meyer recognizes that parts of the apparatus may be attached via screws (see col. 2, line 66; col. 3, line 13), and since

screws a notoriously common connectors in the mechanical arts, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have included a screw.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID L. SORKIN whose telephone number is (571)272-1148. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7:30AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Walter D. Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1447. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/DAVID L. SORKIN/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797